

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/756,588 01/08/01 SINGLETON K 856 **EXAMINER** QM22/0827 LAW OFFICES OF JOHN D. GUGLIOTTA, P.E., WORRELL JR.L 202 DELAWARE BUILDING ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 137 SOUTH MAIN STREET AKRON OH 44308 3765 **DATE MAILED:** 08/27/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/756,588

Applicant(s)

Singleton

Examiner

Danny Worrell

Art Unit **3765**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
Period for Reply	TO TANDET OF THE PROPERTY OF T
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, 	ition
be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory processing.	eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	201
	001
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rete Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>	
7) Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
a) \square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.	
application from the International Bure	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19} Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09756588

Art Unit: 3741

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Meengs et al.

The disclosure of Meengs et al. teaches the a sock as claimed including an inner cuff(4) having an inner portion which remains next to a user's skin when worn by the user and an opposing outer portion, said inner and outer portions comprise a foot-covering portion(2) which includes a toe portion and a heel portion. The sock further includes an outer cuff(5) formed integrally along a linearly elongated centerline. Said outer cuff is designed and configured so as to fold downward in a direction away from the leg covering portion to the heel portion in such a manner whereby the cuff folds over a top portion of a boot so as to effectively cover upper boot laces. Note that the inner cuff in fabricated as a separate loop from said outer cuff.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09756588

Art Unit: 3741

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meengs et al. in view of Kearns.

The disclosure of Meengs et al. teaches the invention as claimed as indicated above in the rejection to claim 1. The disclosure of Meengs et al. does not teach the use of an elastic yarn in the selvedge. As shown in figure 4, Kearns teaches a sock with elastic yarns in the selvage of an outer cuff portion. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains to provide the selvage area of the outer cuff of Meengs et al. with elastic yarns as shown by Kearns in order increase the elasticity of the outer cuff portion of Meengs et al. and thereby maintain the cuff taut against the sock.

Regarding the process limitations found in claims 6-8, the method of making the product is not germane to the patentability of the product itself. Even so it should be noted that the selvage of Kearns does in fact include the process steps as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09756588

Art Unit: 3741

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 6/18/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Initially it is noted that applicant has not argued the rejection of independent claim 1 and dependent claim 2 under 102(b). On page 2 of the response, applicant argues claim 3 and the limitation of the outer cuff being formed "integrally" with the leg covering of is not found in reference of Meengs et al. The examiner disagrees. It is self-evident from even a cursory review of Meengs et al. these elements are integrally formed. The fact that many individual loops are knitted together does not take away from the fact that the elements are integrally formed. Applicant also argues that the reference of Meengs et al. fails to teach a selvage made up of several rounds of elastic yarns. The examiner has never indicated that the reference of Meengs et al. teaches such elastic yarns. In fact the examiner combined the teachings of Kearns with Meengs et al. for the express purpose of adding elastic rounds to the selvage of Meengs et al. Kearns uses multiple rounds of elastic yarn as plainly set forth in column 3, lines 19-28. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09756588

Art Unit: 3741

(Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains to provide the selvage area of the outer cuff of Meengs et al. with elastic yarns as shown by Kearns in order to increase the elasticity of the outer cuff portion of Meengs et al. and thereby maintain the cuff taut against the sock.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Worrell whose telephone number is (703) 308-0889. Messages placed on voice mail will be returned by the end of my next business day.

Art Unit: 3741

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-0758.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Any inquiry concerning the Draftsperson's drawing review should be directed to the Chief Draftsperson whose telephone number is (703) 305-8404.

LDW August 26, 2001 Danny Worrell Primary Examiner TC 3700 Page 6